

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office . Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/693,207	10/23/2003	Ru-rong Wu Hsiao	87165755-002001	2311
7590 09/1 <i>5/</i> 200 <i>5</i>			EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER 1300 I Street N. W. Wahington, DC 20005-3315			BARRY, CHESTER T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1724	

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/693,207	WU HSIAO ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Chester T. Barry	1724
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repn. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Ily be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on :	15 July 2005	
		This action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for all		rs, prosecution as to the merits is
. —	closed in accordance with the practice und		-
)isposit	ion of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.	
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) 11 is/are withdra		
5)🛛	Claim(s) 7 is/are allowed.		
·	Claim(s) <u>1-6,9,10 and 12-19</u> is/are rejecte	d.	
7)	Claim(s) 8 is/are objected to.		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
	The drawing(s) filed on 23 October 2003 is		ected to by the Examiner
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	J.,	` '
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by th		
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	5 1 my minute 20 2101013	
,-	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum		plication No.
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	· ·	
	application from the International Bu		
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a		eceived.
Attachmen	t(s)		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	r No(s)/Mail Date $\frac{3/3/04}{2}$.	6) Other:	
i. Patent and Ti	ademark Office ev. 7-05) Office	ce Action Summary	Part of Dance No /Mail Date 20050040
(11	 /	o nouve summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050912

Applicant's election with traverse of the invention of claims 1 - 10, 12 - 19 in the reply filed on 7/15/05 is acknowledged. The election was made with traverse, but no arguments were presented. Accordingly, the election is treated as if made without traverse. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 1 – 6, 9, 10, 12 - 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear whether the prior pH adjustment step is one that is made by alkalophilic/alkaline-tolerant microbes, or whether the diodegrading step is made by said microbes. Amending claim 1 so that the phrase, "by alkalophilic/alkaline-tolerant microbes" immediately follows the word "wastewater," and so that "of said wastewater" follows the word "adjustment," would overcome this rejection.

Per claim 9, there is no antecedent basis for "the coagulated water glass."

Per claim 10, there is no antecedent basis for "the biotreated water."

Per claim 16, the claims ends with "; and" rather than with a period (" . ")

Claim 7 is allowed. USP 6846483 describes isolating alkalophilic bacteria from sewage having slight alkaline pH (7.5-8.0) by following a standard method: Alkaline Bacillus broth medium was used for the isolation of alkalophilic bacteria. Several alkalophilic colonies were isolated and streaked on separate agar plates. The isolates were grown in broth medium of different pH values ranging between 8.0 to 11.0 for 24 hrs. at 37.degree. C. The isolated pure cultures were used for further study. Water

glass wastewater compositions are not described. Culturing the same on such water glass wastewater is not suggested.

Objection is made to claim 8 for want of a period at the end of the claim.

CHESTER T. BARRY